

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2622**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RECEIVED**

In re the Application of

AUG 28 2003

Wataru TOMIDA

Group Art Unit: ~~2622~~ **Technology Center 2600**

Application No.: 09/236,552

Examiner: J. Pokrzywa

Filed: January 26, 1999

Docket No.: 102654

For: INTERNET FACSIMILE DEVICE

#22  
KD  
9-15-03

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the June 3, 2003 Office Action for the above-identified application, reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 14-16, 18, 19, 21 and 22 are pending.

**I. The Claims Define Patentable Subject Matter**

The Office Action rejects claims 14, 16, 18, 19, 21 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Cooper (U.S. Patent No. 6,052,442); and rejects claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Cooper in view of Owens (U.S. Patent No. 6,023,700). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

As a preliminary matter, Cooper is non-analogous art. The claimed invention pertains to an internet facsimile device. Cooper, on the other hand, pertains to a printer 36 that is connected to the answering machine, but is not integrated into the answering machine (see